

An Electronic Protocol for Translation of Research Results to Clinical Practice: A Preliminary Report

Alan H. Morris, M.D.,¹ James Orme, Jr., M.D.,¹ Beatriz H. Rocha, M.D., Ph.D.,² John Holmen, Ph.D.,² Terry Clemmer, M.D.,¹ Nancy Nelson, R.N., M.S.,³ Jode Allen, R.N., M.S.,² Al Jephson, B.A.,¹ Dean Sorenson, Ph.D.,⁴ Kathy Sward, R.N., Ph.D.,⁵ Homer Warner, M.D., Ph.D.,⁴ for the Reengineering Critical Care Clinical Research Investigators⁶

Abstract

Introduction:

We evaluated the feasibility of using an electronic protocol developed for research use (Research-eProtocol-insulin) for blood glucose management in usual intensive care unit clinical practice.

Methods:

We implemented the rules of Research-eProtocol-insulin in the electronic medical record of the Intermountain Healthcare hospital system (Clinical-eProtocol-insulin) for use in usual clinical practice. We evaluated the performance of Clinical-eProtocol-insulin rules in the intensive care units of seven Intermountain Healthcare hospitals and compared this performance with the performance of Research-eProtocol-insulin at the LDS Hospital Shock/Trauma/Respiratory Intensive Care Unit.

Results:

Clinician (nurse or physician) compliance with computerized protocol recommendations was 95% (of 21,325 recommendations) with Research-eProtocol-insulin and 92% (of 109,458 recommendations) with Clinical-eProtocol-insulin. The blood glucose distribution in clinical practice (Clinical-eProtocol-insulin) was similar to the research use distribution (Research-eProtocol-insulin); however, the mean values (119 mg/dl vs 113 mg/dl) were statistically different ($P = 0.0001$). Hypoglycemia rates in the research and practice settings did not differ: the percentage of measurements ≤ 40 mg/dl (0.11% vs 0.1%, $P = 0.65$) and the percentage of patients with at least one blood glucose ≤ 40 mg/dl (4.2% vs 3%, $P = 0.23$) were not statistically significantly different.

Conclusion:

Our electronic blood glucose protocol enabled translation of a research decision-support tool (Research-eProtocol-insulin) to usual clinical practice (Clinical-eProtocol-insulin).

J Diabetes Sci Technol 2008;2(5):802-808

Author Affiliations: ¹Pulmonary and Critical Care Divisions, Departments of Medicine, Intermountain Healthcare Urban Central Region and University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah; ²Department of Medical Informatics, Intermountain Healthcare, ³Intensive Medicine Clinical Program, Intermountain Healthcare, Salt Lake City, Utah; ⁴Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah; ⁵Department of Nursing Informatics, University of Utah School of Nursing, Salt Lake City, Utah; ⁶see Appendix

Abbreviations: (ICU) intensive care unit, (SD) standard deviation

Keywords: clinical, clinical protocol, computer protocol, glucose, intensive care, replicability

Corresponding Author: Alan H. Morris, M.D., Pulmonary/Critical Care Division, Sorenson Heart-Lung Center, 6th Floor, Intermountain Medical Center, 1521 South Cottonwood Street, Murray, UT 84157-7000; email address alan.morris@imail.org