

Translation of Personalized Decision Support into Routine Diabetes Care

Petra Augstein, M.D., Ph.D.,^{1,2} Lutz Vogt, Ph.D.,² Klaus-Dieter Kohnert, M.D., Ph.D.,¹
Peter Heinke, M.Sc.,¹ and Eckhard Salzsieder, Ph.D.^{1,2}

Abstract

Objective:

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of personalized decision support (PDS) on metabolic control in people with diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

Research Design and Methods:

The German health insurance fund BKK TAUNUS offers to its insured people with diabetes and cardiovascular disease the possibility to participate in the Diabetiva[®] program, which includes PDS. Personalized decision support is generated by the expert system KADIS[®] using self-control data and continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) as its data source. The physician of the participating person receives the PDS once a year, decides about use or nonuse, and reports his/her decision in a questionnaire. Metabolic control of participants treated by use or nonuse of PDS for one year and receiving CGM twice was analyzed in a retrospective observational study. The primary outcome was hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c); secondary outcomes were mean sensor glucose (MSG), glucose variability, and hypoglycemia.

Results:

A total of 323 subjects received CGM twice, 289 had complete data sets, 97% (280/289) were type 2 diabetes patients, and 74% (214/289) were treated using PDS, resulting in a decrease in HbA1c [7.10 ± 1.06 to $6.73 \pm 0.82\%$; $p < .01$; change in $\text{HbA1c}_{t0-t12 \text{ months}}$ -0.37 (95% confidence interval -0.46 to -0.28)] and MSG (7.7 ± 1.6 versus 7.4 ± 1.2 mmol/liter; $p = .003$) within one year. Glucose variability was also reduced, as indicated by lower high blood glucose index ($p = .001$), Glycemic Risk Assessment Diabetes Equation ($p = .009$), and time of hyperglycemia ($p = .003$). Low blood glucose index and time spent in hypoglycemia were not affected. In contrast, nonuse of PDS (75/289) resulted in increased HbA1c ($p < .001$). Diabetiva outcome was strongly related to baseline HbA1c (HbA1c_{t0} ; $p < .01$) and use of PDS ($p < .01$). Acceptance of PDS was dependent on HbA1c_{t0} ($p = .049$).

Conclusions:

Personalized decision support has potential to improve metabolic outcome in routine diabetes care.

J Diabetes Sci Technol 2010;4(6):1532-1539

Author Affiliations: ¹Institute of Diabetes "Gerhardt Katsch" Karlsburg, Karlsburg, Germany; and ²Diabetes Service Center, Karlsburg, Germany

Abbreviations: (BMI) body mass index, (CI) confidence interval, (CGM) continuous glucose monitoring, (GRADE) Glycemic Risk Assessment Diabetes Equation, (HbA1c) hemoglobin A1c, (HbA1c_{t0}) baseline HbA1c, (ΔHbA1c) change in hemoglobin A1c_{t0-t12months}, (HBGI) high blood glucose index, (LBGI) low blood glucose index, (MSG) mean sensor glucose, (ns) not significant, (PDS) personalized decision support

Keywords: computerized medical decision support, continuous glucose monitoring, expert system, outpatient diabetes care, personalized advisory system

Corresponding Author: Eckhard Salzsieder, Ph.D., Institute of Diabetes "Gerhardt Katsch" Karlsburg, Greifswalder Str.11e, 17495 Karlsburg, Germany; email address salzsied@diabetes-karlsburg.de