Multicenter Validation of a Computer-Based Clinical Decision Support Tool for Glucose Control in Adult and Pediatric Intensive Care Units

B. Taylor Thompson, M.D.,¹ James F. Orme, M.D.,² Hui Zheng, Ph.D.,³
Peter M. Luckett, M.D.,⁴ Jonathon D. Truwit, M.D.,⁵ Douglas F. Willson, M.D.,⁶
R. Duncan Hite, M.D.,⁷ Roy G. Brower, M.D.,⁸ Gordon R. Bernard, M.D.,⁹
Martha A. Q. Curley, R.N., Ph.D.,¹⁰ Jay S. Steingrub, M.D.,¹¹ Dean K. Sorenson, Ph.D.,¹²
Kathy Sward, R.N., M.S.,¹³ Ellie Hirshberg, M.D.,² and Alan H. Morris, M.D.,²
for the Reengineering Critical Care Clinical Research Investigators

Abstract

Introduction:

Hyperglycemia during critical illness is common, and intravenous insulin therapy (IIT) to normalize blood glucose improves outcomes in selected populations. Methods differ widely in complexity, insulin dosing approaches, efficacy, and rates of hypoglycemia. We developed a simple bedside-computerized decision support protocol (eProtocol-insulin) that yields promising results in the development center. We examined the effectiveness and safety of this tool in six adult and five pediatric intensive care units (ICUs) in other centers.

Methods:

We required attending physicians of eligible patients to independently intend to use intravenous insulin to normalize blood glucose. We used eProtocol-insulin for glucose control for a duration determined by the clinical caregivers. Adults had an anticipated length of stay of 3 or more days. In pediatric ICUs, we also required support or intended support with mechanical ventilation for greater than 24 hours or with a vasoactive infusion. We recorded all instances in which eProtocol-insulin instructions were not accepted and all blood glucose values. An independent data safety and monitoring board monitored study results and subject safety. Bedside nurses were selected randomly to complete a paper survey describing their perceptions of quality of care and workload related to eProtocol-insulin use.

 $continued \rightarrow$

Author Affiliations: ¹Pulmonary and Critical Care Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; ²Pulmonary and Critical Care Divisions, Departments of Medicine, LDS Hospital and University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah; ³Biostatistics Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; ⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas; ⁵University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia; ⁶Children's Hospital of the University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia; ⁷Section on Pulmonary and Critical Care, Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; ⁸Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; ⁹Division of Allergy, Pulmonary, and Critical Care, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee; ¹⁰University of Pennsylvania School of Nursing, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ¹¹Division of Critical Care Medicine, Baystate Medical Center, Springfield, Massachusetts; ¹²Department of BioMedical Informatics, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah; and ¹³Department of Nursing Informatics, University of Utah School of Nursing, Salt Lake City, Utah

Abbreviations: (D10w) dextrose 10% in water, (D50w) dextrose 50% in water, (DSMB) data and safety monitoring board, (ICU) intensive care unit, (IIT) intensive insulin therapy, (SD) standard deviation

Keywords: computerized decision support, critical care, glucose control, intensive insulin therapy

Corresponding Author: B. Taylor Thompson, M.D., Pulmonary and Critical Care Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114; email address <u>tthompson1@partners.org</u>

Abstract cont.

Results:

Clinicians accepted 93% of eProtocol-insulin instructions (11,773/12,645) in 100 adult and 48 pediatric subjects. Forty-eight percent of glucose values were in the target range. Both of these results met a priori-defined efficacy thresholds. Only 0.18% of glucose values were \leq 40 mg/dl. This is lower than values reported in prior IIT studies. Although nurses reported eProtocol-insulin required as much work as managing a mechanical ventilator, most nurses felt eProtocol-insulin had a low impact on their ability to complete non-IIT nursing activities.

Conclusions:

A multicenter validation demonstrated that eProtocol-insulin is a valid, exportable tool that can assist clinicians in achieving control of glucose in critically ill adults and children.

J Diabetes Sci Technol 2008;2(3):357-368