**Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology** Volume 3, Issue 4, July 2009 © Diabetes Technology Society

# Validation of a Multimarker Model for Assessing Risk of Type 2 Diabetes from a Five-Year Prospective Study of 6784 Danish People (Inter99)

Mickey Urdea, Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Janice Kolberg, Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Judith Wilber, Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Robert Gerwien, Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Edward Moler, Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Michael Rowe, Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Paul Jorgensen, M.S.,<sup>1</sup> Torben Hansen, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>2</sup> Oluf Pedersen, M.D., D.M.S.C.,<sup>2</sup> Torben Jørgensen, M.D., D.M.S.C.,<sup>3</sup> and Knut Borch-Johnsen, M.D., D.M.S.C.<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

### Background:

Improved identification of subjects at high risk for development of type 2 diabetes would allow preventive interventions to be targeted toward individuals most likely to benefit. In previous research, predictive biomarkers were identified and used to develop multivariate models to assess an individual's risk of developing diabetes. Here we describe the training and validation of the PreDx<sup>™</sup> Diabetes Risk Score (DRS) model in a clinical laboratory setting using baseline serum samples from subjects in the Inter99 cohort, a population-based primary prevention study of cardiovascular disease.

#### Methods:

Among 6784 subjects free of diabetes at baseline, 215 subjects progressed to diabetes (converters) during five years of follow-up. A nested case-control study was performed using serum samples from 202 converters and 597 randomly selected nonconverters. Samples were randomly assigned to equally sized training and validation sets. Seven biomarkers were measured using assays developed for use in a clinical reference laboratory.

#### Results:

The PreDx DRS model performed better on the training set (area under the curve [AUC] = 0.837) than fasting plasma glucose alone (AUC = 0.779). When applied to the sequestered validation set, the PreDx DRS showed the same performance (AUC = 0.838), thus validating the model. This model had a better AUC than any other single measure from a fasting sample. Moreover, the model provided further risk stratification among high-risk subpopulations with impaired fasting glucose or metabolic syndrome.

 $continued \rightarrow$ 

Author Affiliations: <sup>1</sup>Tethys Bioscience, Emeryville, California; <sup>2</sup>Steno Diabetes Center, Copenhagen, Denmark; and <sup>3</sup>Research Centre for Prevention and Health, Glostrup University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

Abbreviations: (AUC) area under the ROC curve, (BMI) body mass index, (DRS) Diabetes Risk Score, (HbA1c) hemoglobin A1c, (HDL) highdensity lipoprotein, (HOMA-IR) homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, (IFG) impaired fasting glucose, (LDL) low-density lipoprotein, (OGTT) oral glucose tolerance test, (ROC) receiver operator characteristic, (T2DM) type 2 diabetes mellitus

Keywords: adiponectin, biomarkers, C-reactive protein, diabetes risk score, ferritin, hemoglobin A1c, insulin, impaired fasting glucose, interleukin-2 receptor alpha, metabolic syndrome, PreDx Diabetes Risk Score, stratified risk assessment, type 2 diabetes

Corresponding Author: Janice Kolberg, PhD, Tethys Bioscience, 5858 Horton St., Suite 550, Emeryville, CA 94608, email address <u>jkolberg@tethysbio.com</u>

#### Abstract cont.

#### Conclusions:

The PreDx DRS provides the absolute risk of diabetes conversion in five years for subjects identified to be "at risk" using the clinical factors.

J Diabetes Sci Technol 2009;3(4):748-755